





DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/185,212	11/03/1998	HIROSHI MAEDA	48742	5152	
7.	590 05/03/2002				
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman			EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Practice Group EDWARDS & ANGELL			WALLERSO	WALLERSON, MARK E	
P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200000, 1121 0			2622	1 80	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/185,212

Applicant(s)

Maeda et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit 2622



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	OF REPLY	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). I date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	n the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Ny and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
 Failure 	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	This communication, over it allow mad, may reacted any				
Status	D	nd 2/21/02				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $1/10/02 \text{ a}$ This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This action		-			
•		·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
-	tion of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considerat	tio			
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-17	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem	nent			
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e an accepted or bn objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: an approved by disapproved by the Exam	nine			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) X	〗All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
•	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachm						
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) 🗌 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) [] Inf) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2622

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendments filed on 1/10/2002 and 2/21/2002.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-4 and 6-17 are pending.

Continued Prosecution Application

3. The request filed on 2/21/2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/185,212 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (U. S. 5,923,013) in view of Tanaka et al. (hereinafter referred to as Tanaka) (U. S. 5,682,549).

1

Application/Control Number: 09/185,212 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to **claim 1**, Suzuki discloses an image processing device (72) comprising image data input means (76 or 110, figure 12) for inputting image data; image data storage means (80) for storing the image data (column 8, lines 29-34); image data confirmation (identifying) means (78) for confirming (identifying) the characteristics (content) of the image data (column 5, lines 14-19; column 6, lines 56-64, and column 8, lines 11-28); management table means (92, figure 13) for managing the characteristics of each image data as management information of image data (column 8, lines 42-49 and column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 9) with reference to the corresponding data stored in the image data storage means (80) (column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 9), and image processing means (82) for performing image processing with respect to the image data (column 8, lines 29-35).

Suzuki differs from claim 1 in that although he discloses sending the image data to the storage means, he does not clearly disclose that the management table manages input request information indicative of a request for transmitting the image data from the image processing means and input completion information indicative of the completion of an input of the image data in connection with the corresponding information stored in the image data storage means.

Tanaka discloses an image data management system comprising a management table wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table (column 8, lines 20-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/185,212 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki by the teaching of Tanaka in order to easily store, manage, and output the image data as disclosed by Tanaka in column 2, lines 13-15.

With regard to **claim 2**, Suzuki discloses means for setting a processing mode of the image processing means (column 12, lines 29-44).

With respect to **claim 3**, Suzuki discloses that the management table (92) further includes a mode management section (which reads on the job description file) (column 8, lines 58-61) for managing a processing mode (which reads on the number of print copies) as management information of the image data (column 16, lines 18-34), with reference to the image data stored in the storage means (which reads on saved job description files) (column 16, lines 13-17).

With regard to **claim 4**, Suzuki discloses an image processing management section (92) for performing image processing with respect to the image data based on the management information of the management table means (92) (column 11, lines 19-42).

With regard to **claim 6**, Suzuki discloses image output means (72) outputting the processed image data (column 9, lines 33-45), wherein the management table (92) further includes a management output section (94) for outputting the image data from the output means according to the management information (column 8, lines 46-57 and column 9, lines 33-45).

With respect to **claims 7-10**, Suzuki discloses that the management table produces a table consisting of a table for managing information relating to the image data and processing conditions for the image data (column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 8), document ID information

Art Unit: 2622

(column 8, lines 42-45), an image ID (contents ID) (column 4, lines 61-64), and an output image ID (page ID) for identifying each page of processed image data (column 4, lines 58-60)

With respect to **claim 12**, Suzuki discloses that the image data is for use in a computer (host system) (column 4, lines 51-54), and that the image data input means (76) is interface means for receiving data from the computer (figure 12).

With regard to **claim 16**, Suzuki discloses second image data storage means (100) for storing image data processed by the image data processing means (column 8, lines 36-41 and column 9, lines 33-45), the management table having means for managing the image data stored in the second image data storage means in connection with the corresponding management information (column 8, line 36 to column 9, line 16).

With respect to **claim 17**, Suzuki discloses second data storage means (90 or 100) for storing an image data which is subjected to image processing by the image processing means (column 8, lines 36-41 and column 9, lines 33-39); image outputting means (102) for outputting the processed second image data from the second image storage means (column 9, lines 39-43), management table means (92, figure 13) for managing output request information indicative of a request for transmitting the image data from the image output means (which reads on to execute a print job, reading the image data to be printed from the data memory, and sending the image data to the printer) (column 9, lines 23-45).

Suzuki differs from claim 17 in that he does not clearly disclose that the management table manages input request information indicative of a request for transmitting the image data from the

Art Unit: 2622

image processing means and input completion information indicative of the completion of an input of the image data in connection with the corresponding information stored in the image data storage means.

Tanaka discloses an image data management system comprising a management table wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table (column 8, lines 20-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki by the teaching of Tanaka in order to easily store, manage, and output the image data as disclosed by Tanaka in column 2, lines 13-15.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Tanaka as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Morikawa (U. S. 5,960,247).

Suzuki as modified differs from claim 11 in that he does not clearly disclose that the image input means is a document image reading means for reading the image data of a document image.

Art Unit: 2622

Morikawa discloses a method of processing image data using a management table (figure 6) where a scan system (10) is used for reading the image data of an original (document) (column 3, lines 15-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki as modified wherein the image input means is a document image reading means for reading the image data of a document image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki as modified by the teaching of Morikawa in order to allow the processing of print jobs on paper sheets.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Tanaka as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kusumoto (U. S. 6,088,135).

Suzuki as modified differs from claim 13 in that he does not clearly disclose that the image data is image data for use in a facsimile machine, and that the input means is a facsimile interface means for receiving data from the facsimile machine.

Kusumoto discloses means for processing image data using a management table (figure 11), wherein the image data may be image data for use in a facsimile machine (column 1, lines 5-

Art Unit: 2622

10 and lines 25-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki as modified wherein the image data is image data for use in a facsimile machine, and the input means is a facsimile interface means for receiving data from the facsimile machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki as modified by the teaching of Kusumoto in order to be able to control the image processing in a facsimile by utilizing a management table.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Tanaka.

With respect to claim 14, Suzuki discloses an image processing device (72) comprising first data storage means (80) for storing the image data (column 8, lines 29-34); image processing means (82) for performing image processing with respect to the image data (column 8, lines 29-35); second data storage means (90 or 100) for storing an image data which is subjected to image processing by the image processing means (column 8, lines 36-41 and column 9, lines 33-39); management table means (92, figure 13) for managing input request information indicative of a

Art Unit: 2622

request for transmitting the image data from the image processing means (which reads on to execute a print job, reading the image data to be printed from the data memory, and sending the image data to the printer) (column 9, lines 23-45) the characteristics of each image data as management information of image data (column 8, lines 42-49 and column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 9) with reference to the corresponding data stored in the image data storage means (80) (column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 9).

Suzuki differs from claim 14 in that he does not clearly disclose that the management table manages input request information indicative of a request for transmitting the image data from the image processing means and input completion information indicative of the completion of an input of the image data in connection with the corresponding information stored in the image data storage means.

Tanaka discloses an image data management system comprising a management table wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table (column 8, lines 20-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki by the teaching of Tanaka in order to easily store, manage, and output the image data as disclosed by Tanaka in column 2, lines 13-15.

Application/Control Number: 09/185,212 Page 10

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to **claim 15**, Suzuki discloses image outputting means (102) for outputting the processed second image data from the second image storage means (column 9, lines 39-43), wherein the management table means (92, figure 13) manages output request information indicative of a request for transmitting the image data from the image output means (which reads on to execute a print job, reading the image data to be printed from the data memory, and sending the image data to the printer) (column 9, lines 23-45).

Suzuki differs from claim 15 in that although he discloses sending the image data to the storage means, he does not clearly disclose that the management table manages input request information indicative of a request for transmitting the image data from the image processing means and input completion information indicative of the completion of an input of the image data in connection with the corresponding information stored in the image data storage means.

Tanaka discloses an image data management system comprising a management table wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table (column 8, lines 20-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki wherein input request and input completion requests are managed by the management table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Suzuki by the teaching of Tanaka in order to easily store, manage, and output the image data as disclosed by Tanaka in column 2, lines 13-15.

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, and 6-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Art Unit: 2622

MARK WAILLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

MARK WALLERSON